

Message

From: Farak, Sonja (DPH) [/O=COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS/OU=MASSMAIL-01/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=SONJA.FARAK]
Sent: 1/21/2011 7:27:50 PM
To: Channing, James (WES) [/O=COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS/OU=MASSMAIL-01/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=JCHANNING]
Subject: RE: v. [REDACTED]

I'm curious if anything has changed in the [REDACTED] case, or if you think it may be disposed of short of trial? I'm asking as I received another summons for Jan. 26 for a Superior Court case in Pittsfield and, although I received yours first, Superior Court does have priority. If you think that you will still need me, would it be possible to go out of order and put me on near the beginning so I could drive to Pittsfield afterward? I've informed the ADA there of the conflict and he is willing to try to work around it if possible.

On a second note, I'm not sure if you are the ADA working on the [REDACTED] (docket # [REDACTED]) case scheduled for trial on Jan. 25 in Chicopee, but if you are I wanted to let you know that I am on call for 2 Superior Court cases in Springfield that day (I only found out about these today as well). Due to the proximity of the court houses, I don't anticipate this being a huge problem, and I will inform both of the other ADAs of my case in Chicopee as well.

I apologize for any inconveniences this may causes and thank you for your understanding.

-Sonja

Sonja Farak
Drug Analysis Lab

From: Channing, James (WES)
Sent: Monday, January 10, 2011 3:44 PM
To: Farak, Sonja (DPH)
Subject: RE: v. [REDACTED]

I was able to look over the case. The defendant was not charged with the broken glass tube (cocaine). The only lab # of concern for this trial will be the marijuana ([REDACTED]). Sorry for any confusion.

Thanks!

From: Farak, Sonja (DPH)
Sent: Thursday, December 30, 2010 8:37 AM
To: Channing, James (WES)
Subject: RE: v. [REDACTED]

The selling of the marijuana makes sense to me, but I have another question about this. You said that [REDACTED] had additional marijuana on his person ([REDACTED]), but I have [REDACTED] being a residue in a broken glass tube, which turned out to be positive for cocaine, not marijuana. Is there another drug lab number that I am missing? Thanks.

-Sonja

From: Channing, James (WES)
Sent: Wednesday, December 29, 2010 3:01 PM

To: Farak, Sonja (DPH)

Subject: RE: v. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] is alleged to have sold the marijuana ([REDACTED]) to [REDACTED]. In addition, he had additional marijuana on his person ([REDACTED]). Sorry for any confusion.

Thanks!

From: Farak, Sonja (DPH)

Sent: Wednesday, December 29, 2010 7:53 AM

To: Channing, James (WES)

Subject: v. [REDACTED]

ADA Channing-

I'm just letting you know that I received your summons for the [REDACTED] case scheduled for trial on Jan. 26, 2011 and that I am available that day. However, the Drug Lab number listed on the summons ([REDACTED]) appears to be for a different defendant ([REDACTED]). I did notice that Nicholas Kenny is listed as the defendant for [REDACTED] – is that the correct drug lab number? Thanks.

-Sonja

Sonja Farak

Drug Analysis Lab